## Supplementary material

### 0.1 The incumbent firm

We now suppose that the incumbent firm is able to produce a unit of product $A$ at no cost but has to incur the marginal cost $c+t$ to produce a unit of product $B$. The assumption is related to the cost advantage of the incumbent firm. Basically, each entrant has to incur the marginal cost $c$. Depending on its location choice, it has to incur an additional marginal cost in the opposite location, $t$ or $\tau$. Because of disclosure, the value of $\tau$ becomes smaller than $t$.

Suppose that $k$ entrant firms locate at $A$ and $n-(k+1)$ firms locate at $B(k=0,1,2, n-1)$ (note that the incumbent has already located at $A$ ). The profit of the incumbent firm (denoted as $\pi_{I}(k, \tau)$ ), the profit of the entrant firm locating at $A$ (denoted as $\pi_{A}(k, \tau)$ ), and the profit of the firm locating at $B$ (denoted as $\left.\pi_{B}(k, \tau)\right)$ are:

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi_{I}(k, \tau)= & \frac{(1+(n-1) c+((k+1) \times 0+(n-k-1) \tau)-(n+1) \times 0)^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{(1+n c+((k+1) t+(n-k-1) \times 0)-(n+1)(c+t))^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} \\
= & \frac{(1+(n-1) c+(n-k-1) \tau)^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(1-c-(n-k) t)^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}},  \tag{1}\\
\pi_{A}(k, \tau)= & \frac{(1+(n-1) c+((k+1) \times 0+(n-k-1) \tau)-(n+1) c)^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{(1+n c+((k+1) t+(n-k-1) \times 0)-(n+1)(c+t))^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} \\
= & \frac{(1-2 c+(n-k-1) \tau)^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(1-c-(n-k) t)^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}},  \tag{2}\\
\pi_{B}(k, \tau)= & \frac{(1+(n-1) c+((k+1) \times 0+(n-k-1) \tau)-(n+1)(c+\tau))^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{(1+n c+((k+1) t+(n-k-1) \times 0)-(n+1) c)^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} \\
= & \frac{(1-2 c-(k+2) \tau)^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(1-c+(k+1) t)^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

We have to distinguish two cases: $n$ is odd; $n$ is even. First, we consider the case in which $n$ is odd, and then the case in which $n$ is even.
$n$ is odd When $n$ is odd, in any case, the number of firms in each of the markets is different. Given that $k=(n-1) / 2-h$ entrant firms locate in market $A$ in the nondisclosure case $(c \in[2(h-1), 2 h t)$,
$(h=1,2,3, \ldots))$, to induce an entrant firm that would locate in market $A$ in the nondisclosure case to locate in market $B, \tau$ satisfies the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{B}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-h-1, \tau\right)-\pi_{A}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-h, \tau\right)>0 \\
& \pi_{B}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-h-2, \tau\right)-\pi_{A}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-h-1, \tau\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

That is:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& \pi_{B}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-h-1, \tau\right)-\pi_{A}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-h, \tau\right) \\
= & \frac{(2-4 c-(n-2 h+1) \tau)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2-2 c+(n-2 h-1) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}} \\
& -\left(\frac{(2-4 c+(n+2 h-1) \tau)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2-2 c-(n+2 h+1) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}\right) \\
= & -\frac{n\left((2 h-1) \tau^{2}+2(1-2 c) \tau-(2(1-c)-(2 h+1) t) t\right)}{(n+1)^{2}}>0 . \\
& \pi_{B}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-h-2, \tau\right)-\pi_{A}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-h-1, \tau\right) \\
= & \frac{(2-4 c-(n-2 h-1) \tau)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2-2 c+(n-2 h-3) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}} \\
= & \left.-\frac{n\left(\frac{(2-4 c+(n+2 h+1) \tau)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2-2 c-(n+2 h+3) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}\right)}{}\right) \\
& \left.-1) \tau^{2}+2(1-2 c) \tau-(2(1-c)-(2 h+3) t) t\right) \\
(n+1)^{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Solving the inequality, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{-(1-2 c)+\sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+2(2(h+1)-1)(1-c) t-\left(4(h+1)^{2}-1\right) t^{2}}}{2(h+1)-1} \\
& \quad<\tau<\frac{-(1-2 c)+\sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+2(2 h-1)(1-c) t-\left(4 h^{2}-1\right) t^{2}}}{2 h-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now consider the case in which the incumbent firm sets the level of $\tau$ at the upper bound: ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{o} \equiv \frac{-(1-2 c)+\sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+2(2 h-1)(1-c) t-\left(4 h^{2}-1\right) t^{2}}}{2 h-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can easily show that this is smaller than $t$ if and only if $c<2 h t$ (note that we now consider the range of $c,[2(h-1) t, 2 h t))$. The profit in which the incumbent discloses its knowledge and sets $\tau_{o}$

[^0]and that in which it does not are:
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi_{I}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-(h+1), \tau_{o}\right)= & {\left[(1+2 h+n) \sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+2(2 h-1)(1-c) t-\left(4 h^{2}-1\right) t^{2}}\right.}  \tag{5}\\
& -(n-2 h+3-4(1+h n) c)]^{2} / 4(n+1)^{2}(2 h-1)^{2} \\
& +\frac{(2-2 c-(n+2 h+3) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}} \\
\pi_{I}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-h, t\right)= & \frac{(2+2(n-1) c+(n+2 h-1) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2-2 c-(n+2 h+1) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

If the difference between $\pi_{I}\left((n-1) / 2-(h+1), \tau_{o}\right)$ in (5) and $\pi_{I}((n-1) / 2-h, t)$ in (6) is positive, know-how disclosure enhances the profit of the incumbent firm. We now define $J_{o}(t, h)$ as follows:

$$
J_{o}(t, h) \equiv \pi_{I}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-(h+1), \tau_{o}\right)-\pi_{I}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-h, t\right) .
$$

We now check the following three cases: (i) $h=1(c \in(0,2 t)$ or $t>c / 2)$, (ii) $h=2(c \in[2 t, 4 t)$ or $t \in(c / 4, c / 2])$, and (iii) $h$ is larger than $2(c \in[2(h-1) t, 2 h t)$ or $t \in(c /(2 h), c /(2(h-1))])$. First, we consider the case in which $h=1(c \in(0,2 t)$ or $t>c / 2)$. Differentiating $J_{o}(t, 1)$ with respect to $t$ twice, we have (note that $c$ and $t$ are smaller than $1 / 2$ (a necessary condition that the quantities supplied by the firms are positive)):

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} J_{o}(t, 1)}{\partial t^{2}}=-\frac{(n+3)\left[\left((1-2 c)^{2}+2(1-c) t-3 t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}-\left(4-30 c+69 c^{2}-52 c^{3}\right)\right]}{2(n+1)\left((1-2 c)^{2}+2(1-c) t-3 t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}<0
$$

Therefore, $J_{o}(t, 1)$ is concave with respect to $t$. We now substitute $t=c / 2$ (the lower bound of $t$ ) into $J_{o}(t, 1)$, then we have:

$$
J_{o}\left(\frac{c}{2}, 1\right)=\frac{3 c^{2}}{2(n+1)}>0
$$

We find that there exists $\bar{t}$ such that $J_{o}(t, 1)=0$ and that for any $t \in[c / 2, \bar{t})$, disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm because $J_{o}(t, 1)$ is concave. We can summarize this in the following proposition.

Proposition 1 Suppose that $c \in(0,2 t)$ and that $n$ is odd and larger than or equal to 5 . There exists $\bar{t}$ such that $J_{o}(t, 1)=0$. For any $t \in(c / 2, \bar{t})$, the disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm.

Second, we consider the case in which $h=2(c \in[2 t, 4 t)$ or $c / 4<t \leq c / 2)$. In this case, $n$ is larger than or equal to 7 . Differentiating $J_{o}(t, 2)$ with respect to $t$ three times, we have:

$$
\frac{\partial^{3} J_{o}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{3}}=-\frac{3(n+5)\left(8-26 c+23 c^{2}\right)(n-1-4(2 n+1) c)(1-c-5 t)}{2(n+1)^{2}\left((1-2 c)^{2}+3(1-c) t-15 t^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}} .
$$

The sign of $\frac{\partial^{3} J_{o}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{3}}$ does not depend on the value of $t$ because $(1-c-5 t)$ is always positive. ${ }^{2}$ For any $t$, the sign of $\frac{\partial^{3} J_{o}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{3}}$ is always negative or always positive. Therefore, if $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}(t, 2)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ is negative when $t=c / 4$ and $t=c / 2$, the sign of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}(t, 2)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ is negative for any $t$.
(1) $t=c / 4$ : Substituting $t=c / 4$ into $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}(t, 2)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} J_{o}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{2}}\right|_{t=c / 4}=-\frac{4(n+5)\left(2\left(32-72 c-8 c^{2}+81 c^{3}\right)+c\left(160-516 c+449 c^{2}\right) n\right)}{(n+1)^{2}(4-5 c)^{3}}<0
$$

because the coefficient of $n$ and the constant term is positive for any $c<4 / 9$ (when $1-c-5 t>0$ and $t>c / 4, c<4 / 9$.).
(2) $t=c / 2$ : Substituting $t=c / 2$ into $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}(t, 2)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} J_{o}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{2}}\right|_{t=c / 2}=-\frac{4\left(-H_{a}\right)(n+5)\left(8-26 c+23 c^{2}\right)}{3(n+1)^{2}\left(4-4 c-11 c^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}-\frac{4(n+5)(n+2)}{3(n+1)^{2}}<0
$$

because $\left(4-4 c-11 c^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}$ and $\left(8-26 c+23 c^{2}\right)$ are positive for any $c<4 / 9$. Therefore, $J_{o}(t, 2)$ is concave with respect to $t$. We now substitute $t=c / 4$ (the lower bound of $t$ ) and $t=c / 2$ (the upper bound of $t$ ) into $J_{o}(t, 2)$, then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{o}\left(\frac{c}{4}, 2\right)= & \frac{5 c^{2}}{8(n+1)}>0 \\
J_{o}\left(\frac{c}{2}, 2\right)= & \frac{(n+5)(2(n-1)-(7+17 n) c)+\left(35+68 n+5 n^{2}\right) c^{2}}{36(n+1)^{2}} \\
& -\frac{(n+5)(n-1-4(2 n+1) c) \sqrt{4-4 c-11 c^{2}}}{36(n+1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $n$ and $c$ are in the shaded area in Figure $S 1, J_{o}(t, 2)$ is positive for any $t \in[c / 4, c / 2)$, otherwise there exists $\bar{t}^{\prime}$ such that $J_{o}(t, 2)=0$ and that for any $t \in\left[c / 4, \overline{t^{\prime}}\right)$, disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm because $J_{o}(t, 2)$ is concave. We can summarize this as follows:

Proposition 2 Suppose that $c \in(2 t, 4 t)$ and that $n$ is odd and larger than or equal to 7 . If $n$ and $c$ satisfy $J_{o}(c / 2,2)>0$, for any $t \in[c / 4, c / 2)$, the disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm,

[^1]otherwise there exists $\bar{t}^{\prime}$ such that $J_{o}(t, 2)=0$, and for any $t \in\left(c / 4, \bar{t}^{\prime}\right)$, the disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm.

Finally, we briefly discuss the case in which $h$ is larger than two. After some calculus, we find that given that $k=(n-1) / 2-h$ entrant firms locate at $A, J_{o}(t, h)$ is minimized when $t=c /(2(h-1))$ or $t=c /(2 h) .{ }^{3} J_{o}(c /(2 h), h)=c^{2}(1+2 h) /\left(2 h^{2}(n+1)\right)>0$. Therefore, if $J_{o}(c /(2(h-1)), h)$ is positive for any $c, h$, and $n$, in the given range of $t([c / 2 h, c /(2(h-1))])$, disclosure enhances the profit of the incumbent firm. Note that $h$ is related to the value of $t$. As the value of $h$ increases, the value of $t$ decreases.

We now show two examples of these values. From Figures S2 and S3, we find that as the value of $h$ increases, the condition that the disclosure enhances the profit of the incumbent firm tends to hold. As mentioned earlier, as the value of $h$ increases, the value of $t$ decreases. That is, as the value of $t$ becomes smaller, the condition tends to hold.
$n$ is even Given that $k=n / 2-h$ entrant firms locate in market $A$ in the nondisclosure case $(c \in[(2 h-3) t,(2 h-1) t),(h=1,2,3, \ldots))$, to induce an entrant firm that would locate in market $A$ in the nondisclosure case to locate in market $B, \tau$ must satisfy the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{B}\left(\frac{n}{2}-h-1, \tau\right)-\pi_{A}\left(\frac{n}{2}-h, \tau\right)>0 \\
& \pi_{B}\left(\frac{n}{2}-h-2, \tau\right)-\pi_{A}\left(\frac{n}{2}-h-1, \tau\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

that is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{B}\left(\frac{n}{2}-h-1, \tau\right)-\pi_{A}\left(\frac{n}{2}-h, \tau\right) \\
= & \frac{(2-4 c-(n-2 h+2) \tau)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2-2 c+(n-2 h) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^2]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(\frac{(2-4 c+(n+2 h-2) \tau)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2-2 c-(n+2 h) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}\right) \\
= & -\frac{2 n\left((h-1) \tau^{2}+(1-2 c) \tau-(1-c-h t) t\right)}{(n+1)^{2}}>0 . \\
& \pi_{B}\left(\frac{n}{2}-h-2, \tau\right)-\pi_{A}\left(\frac{n}{2}-h-1, \tau\right) \\
= & \frac{(2-4 c-(n-2 h) \tau)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2-2 c+(n-2 h-2) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}} \\
& -\left(\frac{(2-4 c+(n+2 h) \tau)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2-2 c-(n+2 h+2) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}\right) \\
= & -\frac{2 n\left(h \tau^{2}+(1-2 c) \tau-(1-c+(h+1) t) t\right)}{(n+1)^{2}}<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Solving the inequalities, we have:

$$
\frac{-(1-2 c)+\sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+4 h(1-c-(h+1) t) t}}{2 h}<\tau<W
$$

where

$$
W= \begin{cases}\frac{(1-c-t) t}{1-2 c}, & \text { if } h=1 \\ \frac{-(1-2 c)+\sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+4(h-1)(1-c-h t) t}}{2(h-1)}, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

We now consider the case in which the incumbent sets the level of $\tau$ at the upper bound:

$$
\tau_{e} \equiv \begin{cases}\frac{(1-c-t) t}{1-2 c}, & \text { if } h=1  \tag{7}\\ \frac{-(1-2 c)+\sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+4(h-1)(1-c-h t) t}}{2(h-1)}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We can easily show that $\tau_{e}$ is smaller than $t$ if and only if $c<(2 h-1) t$. The profit at which the incumbent discloses its knowledge and sets $\tau_{e}$ and that at which it does not are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{I}\left(\frac{n}{2}-(h+1), \tau_{e}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
{\left[(n+2 h) \sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+4(h-1)((1-c)-h t) t}\right.} \\
-(n-2 h+4-2(2+(2 h-1) n) c)]^{2} / 16(h-1)^{2}(n+1)^{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
&+\frac{(2-2 c-(n+2(h+1)) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}, \quad(h \neq 1)  \tag{8}\\
& \frac{(2(1-2 c)(1+(n-1) c)+(n+2 h)(1-c-t) t)^{2}}{4(1-2 c)^{2}(n+1)^{2}},(h=1)
\end{align*}, \begin{gathered}
\frac{(2+2(n-1) c+(n+2(h-1)) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2-2 c-(n+2 h) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}} \tag{9}
\end{gathered}
$$

If the difference between $\pi_{I}\left(n / 2-(h+1), \tau_{e}\right)$ in (8) and $\pi_{I}(n / 2-h, t)$ in (9) is positive, know-how disclosure enhances the profit of the incumbent firm. We now define $J_{e}(t, h)$ as follows:

$$
J_{e}(t, h) \equiv \pi_{I}\left(\frac{n}{2}-(h+1), \tau_{e}\right)-\pi_{I}\left(\frac{n}{2}-h, t\right)
$$

We now check the following cases: (i) $h=1(c \in(0, t)$ or $t>c)$, (ii) $h=2(c \in[t, 3 t)$ or $t \in(c / 3, c])$, (iii) $h$ is larger than 2 .

First, we consider the case in which $h=1(c \in(0, t)$ or $t>c) . J_{e}(t, 1)$ is:

$$
J_{e}(t, 1)=\frac{t \bar{J}}{4(1-2 c)^{2}(n+1)^{2}},
$$

where $\bar{J} \equiv 4 c(1-2 c)\left((1-c)(2+3 n)+n^{2} c\right)+(n+2)\left(4-2(n+8) c+(5 n+18) c^{2}\right) t^{2}-(2(1-c)-t)(n+2)^{2} t^{2}$. If $\bar{J}$ is positive, then $J_{e}(t, 1)$ is also positive. Differentiating $\bar{J}$ with respect to $t$ twice, we have: ${ }^{4}$

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{J}}{\partial t^{2}}=-2(n+2)^{2}(2-2 c-3 t)<0
$$

$\bar{J}$ is a concave function with respect to $t$. We now substitute $t=c$ (the lower bound of $t$ ) and $t=2(1-c) /(n+6)$ (a necessary condition that the quantities supplied by the firms are positive) into $\bar{J}$, then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{J}_{t=c}= & 16 c(1-2 c)^{2}(n+1)>0 \\
\bar{J}_{t=2(1-c) /(n+6)}= & \frac{8(n+2)(5 n+26)-4\left(n^{4}+19 n^{3}+107 n^{2}+168 n-84\right) c}{(n+1)^{3}} \\
& -\frac{2\left(-2 n^{5}-25 n^{4}+940 n^{2}+2928 n+384\right) c^{2}}{(n+1)^{3}} \\
& -\frac{2\left(4 n^{5}+65 n^{4}+292 n^{3}-60 n^{2}-2112 n-512\right) c^{3}}{(n+1)^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

After some calculus, we can show that for any $c<1 / 2$ (this is a necessary condition that the quantities supplied by the firms are positive) and $n, \bar{J}_{t=2(1-c) /(n+6)}$ is positive. ${ }^{5}$

Proposition 3 Suppose that $c \in(0, t)$ and that $n$ is even and larger than or equal to 4 . The disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm.

[^3]Second, we consider the case in which $h=2(c \in[t, 3 t)$ or $c / 3<t \leq c)$. $n$ is larger than or equal to 6 . Differentiating $J_{e}(t, 2)$ with respect to $t$ three times, we have:

$$
\frac{\partial^{3} J_{e}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{3}}=-\frac{3(n+4)\left(8-10 c+9 c^{2}\right)(n-2(3 n+2) c)(1-c-4 t)}{(n+1)^{2}\left((1-2 c)^{2}+4(1-c) t-8 t^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}
$$

The sign of $\frac{\partial^{3} J_{e}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{3}}$ does not depend on the value of $t$ because $(1-c-4 t)$ is always positive. ${ }^{6}$ For any $t$, the sign of $\frac{\partial^{3} J_{e}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{3}}$ is always negative or always positive. Therefore, if $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}(t, 2)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ is negative when $t=c / 3$ and $t=c$, the sign of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}(t, 2)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ is negative for any $t$.
(1) $t=c / 3$ : Substituting $t=c / 3$ into $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}(t, 2)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} J_{e}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{2}}\right|_{t=c / 3}=-\frac{(n+4)\left(4\left(27-27 c-126 c^{2}+179 c^{3}\right)+3 c\left(144-477 c+422 c^{2}\right) n\right)}{2(n+1)^{2}(3-4 c)^{3}}<0
$$

because $\left(27-27 c-126 c^{2}+179 c^{3}\right)$ and $\left(144-477 c+422 c^{2}\right)$ are positive for any $c<1 / 2$.
(2) $t=c$ : Substituting $t=c$ into $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}(t, 2)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} J_{e}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{2}}\right|_{t=c}=-\frac{(n+4)\left((3 n+4)\left(1-8 c^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}+\left(-H_{b}\right)\left(3-10 c+9 c^{2}\right)\right.}{2(n+1)^{2}\left(1-8 c^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}<0
$$

Therefore, $J_{e}(t, 2)$ is concave with respect to $t$. We now substitute $t=c / 3$ (the lower bound of $t$ ) and $t=c$ (the upper bound of $t$ ) into $J_{e}(t, 2)$, then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{e}\left(\frac{c}{3}, 2\right) & =\frac{8 c^{2}}{9(n+1)}>0 \\
J_{e}(c, 2) & =\frac{(n+4)(n-2(2+3 n) c)\left(1-\sqrt{1-8 c^{2}}\right)-4 n^{2} c^{2}}{8(n+1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $n$ and $c$ are in the shaded area of Figure $\mathrm{S} 4, J_{e}(t, 2)$ is positive for any $t \in[c / 3, c)$, otherwise there exists $\bar{t}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $J_{e}(t, 2)=0$ and that for any $t \in\left[c / 3, \bar{t}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm because $J_{e}(t, 2)$ is concave. We can summarize this as the following proposition.

Proposition 4 Suppose that $c \in(t, 3 t)$ and that $n$ is even and larger than or equal to 6 . If $J_{e}(c, 2)>0$, for any $t \in[c / 3, c)$, the disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm. Otherwise, there exists $\bar{t}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $J_{e}(t, 2)=0$, and for any $t \in\left(c / 3, \overline{t^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, the disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm.

[^4]Finally, we briefly discuss the case in which $h$ is larger than two. After some calculus, we find that given $k=n / 2-h$ entrant firms locate at $A, J_{e}(t, h)$ is minimized when $t=c /(2 h-1)$ or $t=c /(2 h-3) .{ }^{7} \quad J_{e}(c /(2 h-1), h)=4 c^{2} h /\left((2 h-1)^{2}(n+1)\right)>0$. Therefore, if $J_{e}(c /(2 h-3), h)$ is positive for any $c, h$, and $n$, in the given range of $t([c /(2 h-1), c /(2 h-3)])$, disclosure enhances the profit of the incumbent firm. Note that $h$ is related to the value of $t$. As the value of $h$ increases, the value of $t$ decreases.

We now show two examples of these values. From Figures S5 and S6, we find that as the value of $h$ increases, the condition that disclosure enhances the profit of the incumbent firm tends to hold. As mentioned earlier, as the value of $h$ increases, the value of $t$ decreases. That is, as the value of $t$ becomes smaller, the condition tends to hold.

### 0.2 Entrant firms

We now consider the changes in the profits of the entrant firms. There are two types of entrants: those who locate in market $A$ and those who locate in market $B$.

Suppose that $k$ entrant firms locate at $A$ and $n-(k+1)$ firms locate at $B(k=0,1,2, n-1)$ (note that the incumbent has already located at $A$ ). The profit of the incumbent firm (denoted as $\pi_{I}(k, \tau)$ ), the profit of the entrant firm locating at $A$ (denoted as $\pi_{A}(k, \tau)$ ), and the profit of the firm locating at $B\left(\right.$ denoted as $\left.\pi_{B}(k, \tau)\right)$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{A}(k, \tau)=\frac{(1-2 c+(n-k-1) \tau)^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(1-c-(n-k) t)^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} \\
& \pi_{B}(k, \tau)=\frac{(1-2 c-(k+2) \tau)^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(1-c+(k+1) t)^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have to distinguish two cases: $n$ is odd; $n$ is even. First, we consider the case in which $n$ is odd, and then that in which $n$ is even.

[^5]
### 0.2.1 Entrant firms locating in market $A$

We now discuss the profits of the firms locating in market $A$.
$n$ is odd When $n$ is odd, in any case, the number of firms in each market is different. Given that $k=(n-1) / 2-h(h=1,2,3, \ldots)$ entrant firms locate in market $A$ in the nondisclosure case $(c \in[2(h-1), 2 h t))$, to induce an entrant firm that would locate in market $A$ in the nondisclosure case to locate in market $B$, the incumbent sets $\tau$ at $\tau_{o}$ (which is defined in (4)):

$$
\tau_{o}=\frac{-(1-2 c)+\sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+2(2 h-1)(1-c) t-\left(4 h^{2}-1\right) t^{2}}}{2 h-1} .
$$

The profit in the cases when the incumbent discloses its knowledge and sets $\tau_{o}$ and when it does not is:

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi_{A}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-(h+1), \tau_{o}\right)= & {\left[(1+2 h+n) \sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+2(2 h-1)(1-c) t-\left(4 h^{2}-1\right) t^{2}}\right.}  \tag{10}\\
& -(n-2 h+3)(1-2 c)]^{2} / 4(2 h-1)^{2}(n+1)^{2} \\
& +\frac{(2-2 c-(n+2 h+3) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}, \\
\pi_{A}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-h, t\right)= & \frac{(2-4 c+(n+2 h-1) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2-2 c-(n+2 h+1) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

If the difference between $\pi_{A}\left((n-1) / 2-(h+1), \tau_{o}\right)$ in (10) and $\pi_{A}((n-1) / 2-h, t)$ in (11) is positive, the know-how disclosure enhances the profits of entrant firms who locate in market $A$. We now define $J_{o}^{A}(t, h)$ as follows:

$$
J_{o}^{A}(t, h) \equiv \pi_{A}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-(h+1), \tau_{o}\right)-\pi_{A}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-h, t\right) .
$$

We now check the three cases: (i) $h=1(c \in(0,2 t)$ or $t>c / 2)$, (ii) $h=2(c \in[2 t, 4 t)$ or $t \in(c / 4, c / 2])$, and (iii) $h$ is larger than $2(c \in[2(h-1) t, 2 h t)$ or $t \in(c /(2 h), c /(2(h-1))])$.

First, we consider the case in which $h=1(c \in(0,2 t)$ or $t>c / 2)$. Differentiating $J_{o}^{A}(t, 1)$ with respect to $t$ twice, we have (note that $c$ and $t$ are smaller than $1 / 2$ ):

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} J_{o}^{A}(t, 1)}{\partial t^{2}}=-\frac{(n+3)\left(\left((1-2 c)^{2}+2(1-c) t-3 t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}-(1-2 c)\left(4-14 c+13 c^{2}\right)\right)}{2(n+1)\left((1-2 c)^{2}+2(1-c) t-3 t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}<0 .
$$

Therefore, $J_{o}^{A}(t, 1)$ is concave with respect to $t$. We now substitute $t=c / 2$ (the lower bound of $t$ ) into $J_{o}^{A}(t, 1)$, then we have:

$$
J_{o}^{A}\left(\frac{c}{2}, 1\right)=\frac{c^{2}}{2(n+1)}>0
$$

We find that there exists $\tilde{t}$ such that $J_{o}^{A}(t, 1)=0$ and that for any $t \in[c / 2, \bar{t})$, disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm because $J_{o}^{A}(t, 1)$ is concave. We can summarize this as the following proposition.

Proposition 5 Suppose that $c \in(0,2 t)$. There exists $\tilde{t}$ such that $J_{o}^{A}(t, 1)=0$. For any $t \in(c / 2, \tilde{t})$, the disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm.

Second, we consider the case in which $h=2(c \in[2 t, 4 t)$ or $c / 4<t \leq c / 2)$. Differentiating $J_{o}^{A}(t, 2)$ with respect to $t$ three times, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{3} J_{o}^{A}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{3}}=-\frac{3(1-2 c)(n+5)\left(8-26 c+23 c^{2}\right)(n-1)(1-c-5 t)}{2(n+1)^{2}\left((1-2 c)^{2}+3(1-c) t-15 t^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}<0 \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} J_{o}^{A}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{(n+5)\left[(1-2 c)\left(8-26 c+23 c^{2}\right)(n-1)\right]}{6(n+1)^{2}\left((1-2 c)^{2}+3(1-c) t-15 t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}-\frac{8(n+5)(n+2)}{6(n+1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now show that the sign of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{A}(t, 2)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ is negative in all cases. Substituting $t=c / 4$ (the lower bound of $t$ ) into $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{A}(t, 2)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} J_{o}^{A}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{2}}\right|_{t=c / 4}= & -\frac{4(n+5)\left(2\left(32-136 c+200 c^{2}-103 c^{3}\right)+c\left(32-100 c+81 c^{2}\right) n\right)}{(n+1)^{2}(4-5 c)^{3}}<0 \\
& \text { for any } c<1 / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\frac{\partial^{3} J_{o}^{A}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{3}}$ is negative, $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{A}(t, 2)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ is negative, that is, $J_{o}^{A}(t, 2)$ is concave with respect to $t$. We now substitute $t=c / 4$ (the lower bound of $t$ ) and $t=c / 2$ (the upper bound of $t$ ) into $J_{o}^{A}(t, 2)$, then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{o}^{A}\left(\frac{c}{4}, 2\right)= & \frac{c^{2}}{8(n+1)}>0 \\
J_{o}^{A}\left(\frac{c}{2}, 2\right)= & \frac{(n+5)(2-5 c)(n+5)-\left(31+4 n+n^{2}\right) c^{2}}{36(n+1)^{2}} \\
& -\frac{(n+5)(n-1)(1-2 c) \sqrt{4-4 c-11 c^{2}}}{36(n+1)^{2}}<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

There exists $\tilde{t}^{\prime}$ such that $J_{o}^{A}(t, 2)=0$ and that for any $t \in\left[c / 4, \tilde{t}^{\prime}\right)$, disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm because $J_{o}^{A}(t, 2)$ is concave. We can summarize this as the following proposition.

Proposition 6 Suppose that $c \in(2 t, 4 t)$. There exists $\tilde{t}^{\prime}$ such that $J_{o}^{A}(t, 2)=0$. For any $t \in\left(c / 4, \tilde{t}^{\prime}\right)$, the disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm.

Finally, we briefly discuss the case in which $h$ is larger than two. After some calculus, we find that, given that $k=(n-1) / 2-h$ entrant firms locate at $A, J_{o}(t, h)$ is minimized when $t=c /(2(h-1)) .{ }^{8}$ $J_{o}^{A}(c /(2 h), h)=c^{2} /\left(2 h^{2}(n+1)\right)>0$. Therefore, we have the following result: Suppose that $c \in$ $(2(h-1) t, 2 h t)$ and $n$ is odd. There exists $\tilde{t}_{g}^{\prime}$ such that $J_{o}^{A}(t, h)=0$. For any $t \in\left(c /(2 h), \tilde{t}_{g}^{\prime}\right)$, the disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm.
$n$ is even Given that $k=n / 2-h$ entrant firms locate in market $A$ in the nondisclosure case $(c \in[(2 h-3) t,(2 h-1) t))$ (when $h=1$, the range is $(0, t))$, to induce an entrant firm who would locate in market $A$ in the nondisclosure case to locate in market $B, \tau$ satisfies the following inequalities, the incumbent sets $\tau$ at $\tau_{e}$ :

$$
\tau_{e}= \begin{cases}\frac{(1-c-t) t}{1-2 c} & \text { if } h=1 \\ \frac{-(1-2 c)+\sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+4(h-1)(1-c-h t) t}}{2(h-1)}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The profit when the incumbent discloses its knowledge and sets $\tau_{e}$ and that when it does not do so is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{A}\left(\frac{n}{2}-(h+1), \tau_{e}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
{\left[(n+2 h) \sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+4(h-1)((1-c)-h t) t}\right.} \\
-(n-2 h+4)(1-2 c)]^{2} / 16(h-1)^{2}(n+1)^{2}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{12}\\
&+\frac{(2-2 c-(n+2(h+1)) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}},(h \neq 1)  \tag{13}\\
& \frac{\left(2-6 c+5 c^{2}-2(1-c) t+t^{2}\right)\left(4(1-2 c)^{2}+(n+2)^{2} t^{2}\right)}{4(1-2 c)^{2}(n+1)^{2}},(h=1) \\
& \pi_{A}\left(\frac{n}{2}-h, t\right)=\frac{(2-4 c+(n+2(h-1)) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2-2 c-(n+2 h) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

[^6]If the difference between $\pi_{I}\left(n / 2-(h+1), \tau_{e}\right)$ in (12) and $\pi_{I}(n / 2-h, t)$ in (13) is positive, know-how disclosure enhances the profit of entrant firms locating in market $A$. We now define $J_{e}^{A}(t, h)$ as follows:

$$
J_{e}^{A}(t, h) \equiv \pi_{A}\left(\frac{n}{2}-(h+1), \tau_{e}\right)-\pi_{A}\left(\frac{n}{2}-h, t\right)
$$

We now check the three cases: (i) $h=1(c \in(0, t)$ or $t>c)$, (ii) $h=2(c \in[t, 3 t)$ or $t \in(c / 3, c])$, and (iii) $h$ is larger than $2(c \in[(2 h-3) t,(2 h-1) t)$ or $t \in(c /(2 h-1), c /(2 h-3)])$.

First, we consider the case in which $h=1(c \in(0, t)$ or $t>c) . J_{e}^{A}(t, 1)$ is:

$$
J_{e}^{A}(t, 1)=\frac{t \tilde{J}}{4(1-2 c)^{2}(n+1)^{2}}
$$

where $\tilde{J} \equiv 4 c(1-2 c)^{2} n+(n+2)\left(4+2(n-6) c-(3 n-10) c^{2}\right) t-(2(1-c)-t)(n+2)^{2} t^{2}$. If $\tilde{J}$ is positive, then $J_{e}^{A}(t, 1)$ is also positive. Differentiating $\tilde{J}$ with respect to $t$ twice, we have:

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{J}}{\partial t^{2}}=-2(n+2)^{2}(2-2 c-3 t)<0
$$

$\tilde{J}$ is a concave function with respect to $t$. We now substitute $t=c$ (the lower bound of $t$ ) and $t=2(1-c) /(n+6)$ (a necessary condition that the quantities supplied by the firms are positive) into $\tilde{J}$, then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}_{t=c}= & 8 c(1-2 c)^{2}(n+1)>0 \\
\tilde{J}_{t=2(1-c) /(n+6)}= & \frac{16(1-c)\left(13-44 c+40 c^{2}\right)+48\left(3-2 c-22 c^{2}+30 c^{3}\right) n}{(n+6)^{3}} \\
& +\frac{4\left(5+55 c-241 c^{2}+235 c^{3}\right) n^{2}+12 c\left(5-17 c+15 c^{2}\right) n^{3}}{(n+6)^{3}} \\
& +\frac{c\left(4-13 c+11 c^{2}\right) n^{4}}{(n+6)^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

After some calculus (we can show that the coefficients of $n$ 's are positive), we find that for any $c<1 / 2$ (this is a necessary condition that the quantities supplied by the firms are positive) and $n$, $\tilde{J}_{t=2(1-c) /(n+6)}$ is positive.

Proposition 7 Suppose that $c \in(0, t)$ and that $n$ is even. The disclosure increases the profits of the entrant firms locating in $A$.

Second, we consider the case in which $h=2(c \in[t, 3 t)$ or $c / 3<t \leq c)$. Differentiating $J_{e}^{A}(t, 2)$ with respect to $t$ three times, we have:

$$
\frac{\partial^{3} J_{e}^{A}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{3}}=-\frac{3(1-2 c)\left(3-10 c+9 c^{2}\right) n(n+4)(1-c-4 t)}{(n+1)^{2}\left((1-2 c)^{2}+4(1-c) t-8 t^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}<0
$$

We now show that the sign of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}^{A}(t, 2)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ is negative for any $t$. Substituting $t=c / 3$ into $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}^{A}(t, 2)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$, and then for any $c<1 / 2$ we have:

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} J_{e}^{A}(t, 2)}{\partial t^{2}}\right|_{t=c / 3}=-\frac{(n+4)\left(4(3-4 c)^{3}+3 c\left(36-117 c+98 c^{2}\right) n\right)}{2(n+1)^{2}(3-4 c)^{3}}<0
$$

Therefore, $J_{e}^{A}(t, 2)$ is concave with respect to $t$. We now substitute $t=c / 3$ (the lower bound of $t$ ) and $t=c$ (the upper bound of $t$ ) into $J_{e}(t, 2)$, then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{e}^{A}\left(\frac{c}{3}, 2\right) & =\frac{2 c^{2}}{9(n+1)}>0 \\
J_{e}^{A}(c, 2) & =\frac{n(n+4)(1-2 c)-4(n+2)^{2} c^{2}-n(n+4)(1-2 c) \sqrt{1-8 c^{2}}}{8(n+1)^{2}}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

There exists $\tilde{t}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $J_{e}^{A}(t, 2)=0$ and that for any $t \in\left[c / 3, \tilde{t^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, the disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm because $J_{e}^{A}(t, 2)$ is concave. We can summarize this as the following proposition.

Proposition 8 Suppose that $c \in(t, 3 t)$ and that $n$ is even. There exists $\tilde{t}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $J_{e}^{A}(t, 2)=0$. For any $t \in\left(c / 3, \tilde{t}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, the disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm.

Finally, we briefly discuss the case in which $h$ is larger than two. After some calculus, we find that given $k=n / 2-h$ entrant firms locate at $A, J_{e}(t, h)$ is minimized when $t=c /(2(h-1)) .{ }^{9}$ $J_{e}^{A}(c /(2 h-1), h)=2 c^{2} /\left((2 h-1)^{2}(n+1)\right)>0$. Therefore, we have the following result: Suppose that $c \in((2 h-3) t,(2 h-1) t)$ and $n$ is even. There exists $\tilde{t}_{g}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $J_{e}^{A}(t, h)=0$. For any $t \in\left(c /(2 h-1), \tilde{t}_{g}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, the disclosure increases the profit of the incumbent firm.

### 0.2.2 Entrant firms locating in market $B$

We now discuss the profits of the firms locating in market $B$.

[^7]$n$ is odd When $n$ is odd, in any case, the number of firms in each market is different. Given that $k=(n-1) / 2-h(h=1,2,3, \ldots)$ entrant firms locate in market $A$ in the nondisclosure case $(c \in[2(h-1), 2 h t))$, to induce an entrant firm who would locate in market $A$ in the nondisclosure case to locate in market $B$, the incumbent sets $\tau$ at $\tau_{o}$ :
$$
\tau_{o}=\frac{-(1-2 c)+\sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+2(2 h-1)(1-c) t-\left(4 h^{2}-1\right) t^{2}}}{2 h-1} .
$$

We can easily show that this is smaller than $t$ if and only if $c<2 h t$. The profit in which the incumbent discloses its knowledge and sets $\tau_{o}$ and that in which it does not are:

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi_{B}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-(h+1), \tau_{o}\right)= & {\left[(1-2 h+n) \sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+2(2 h-1)(1-c) t-\left(4 h^{2}-1\right) t^{2}}\right.}  \tag{14}\\
& -(n+2 h-1)(1-2 c)]^{2} / 4(2 h-1)^{2}(n+1)^{2} \\
& +\frac{(2-2 c+(n-2 h-1) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}} \\
\pi_{B}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-h, t\right)= & \frac{(2-4 c-(n-2 h-3) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2-2 c+(n-2 h+1) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

If the difference between $\pi_{B}\left((n-1) / 2-(h+1), \tau_{o}\right)$ in (14) and $\pi_{B}((n-1) / 2-h, t)$ in (15) is negative, know-how disclosure diminishes the profits of the entrant firms who locate in market $B$. We now define $J_{o}^{B}(t, h)$ as follows:

$$
J_{o}^{B}(t, h) \equiv \pi_{B}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-(h+1)\right)-\pi_{B}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-h\right) .
$$

We now check the cases (i) $h=1(c \in(0,2 t)$ or $t>c / 2)$, (ii) $h=2(c \in[2 t, 4 t)$ or $t \in(c / 4, c / 2])$, and (iii) $h$ is larger than $2(c \in[2(h-1) t, 2 h t)$ or $t \in(c /(2 h), c /(2(h-1))])$.

First, we consider the case in which $h=1(c \in(0,2 t)$ or $t>c / 2)$. Differentiating $J_{o}^{B}(t, 1)$ with respect to $t$ twice, we have (note that $c$ and $t$ is smaller than $1 / 2$ and $t>c / 2$ ):

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} J_{o}^{B}(t, 1)}{\partial t^{2}}=-\frac{(n-1)\left(4\left((1-2 c)^{2}+2(1-c) t-3 t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}-(1-2 c)\left(4-14 c+13 c^{2}\right)\right)}{2(n+1)\left((1-2 c)^{2}+2(1-c) t-3 t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}<0
$$

We now substitute $t=c / 2$ (the lower bound of $t$ ) into $J_{o}^{B}(t)$ and $\frac{\partial J_{o}^{B}(t)}{\partial t}$, then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial J_{o}^{B}(t, 1)}{\partial t}\right|_{\frac{c}{2}} & =-\frac{c(4+(n-7) c)}{2(2-3 c)(n+1)}<0 \\
J_{o}^{B}\left(\frac{c}{2}, 1\right) & =-\frac{c^{2}}{2(n+1)}<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\partial^{2} J_{o}^{B} / \partial t^{2}<0, \partial J_{o}^{B} / \partial t$ is negative and then $J_{o}^{B}(t, 1)$ is negative for any $t(>c / 2)$.

Proposition 9 Suppose that $c \in(0,2 t)$ and that $n$ is odd. The disclosure decreases the profit of the entrant firms locating at $B$.

Second, we consider the case in which $h=2(c \in[2 t, 4 t)$ or $c / 4<t \leq c / 2)$. We now relabel $J_{o}^{B}(t, 2)$ as $J_{o}^{B}(c, 2)$. That is, we now treat $J_{o}^{B}$ as a function with respect to $c$. Differentiating $J_{o}^{B}(c, 2)$ with respect to $c$ three times, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{3} J_{o}^{B}(c, 2)}{\partial c^{3}}= & -\frac{3\left(n^{2}-9\right)(3-2 c-10 t)(4-23 t) t^{2}}{2(n+1)^{2}\left((1-2 c)^{2}+3(1-c) t-15 t^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}<0 \\
\frac{\partial^{2} J_{o}^{B}(c, 2)}{\partial c^{2}}= & \frac{-\left(n^{2}-9\right)\left(8+72 t-117 t^{2}-180 t^{3}\right)}{18(n+1)^{2}\left((1-2 c)^{2}+3(1-c) t-15 t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \\
& +\frac{\left(n^{2}-9\right)\left[6\left(8+36 t-51 t^{2}\right) c-48(2+3 t) c^{2}+64 c^{3}\right]}{18(n+1)^{2}\left((1-2 c)^{2}+3(1-c) t-15 t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}-\frac{4\left(n^{2}-9\right)}{9(n+1)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now show that the sign of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{B}(c, 2)\right) /\left(\partial c^{2}\right)$ is positive for any $c$. Substituting $c=4 t$ (the upper bound of $c$ ) into $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{B}(c, 2)\right) /\left(\partial c^{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} J_{o}^{B}(c, 2)}{\partial c^{2}}\right|_{c=4 t}=\frac{9\left(n^{2}-9\right) t^{2}(5-28 t)}{18(n+1)^{2}(1-5 t)^{3}}>0, \text { for any } t<2 /(9+n)
$$

Note that $t<2 /(9+n)$ is a necessary condition that the quantity supplied by the firms are positive. $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{B}(c, 2)\right) /\left(\partial c^{2}\right)$ is positive, that is, $J_{o}^{B}(c, 2)$ is convex with respect to $c$. We now substitute $c=4 t$ (the upper bound of $c$ ) and $c=2 t$ (the lower bound of $c$ ) into $J_{o}^{B}(c, 2)$, then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{o}^{B}(4 t, 2) & =-\frac{2 t^{2}}{n+1}<0 \\
J_{o}^{B}(2 t, 2) & =\frac{\left(n^{2}-9\right)(1-5 t)-2\left(n^{2}+27\right) t^{2}-\left(n^{2}-9\right)(1-4 t) \sqrt{1-2 t-11 t^{2}}}{18(n+1)^{2}}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $t \in[c / 4, c / 2)$, disclosure decreases the profit of the entrant firms.

Proposition 9' Suppose that $c \in(2 t, 4 t)$ and that $n$ is odd. The disclosure decreases the profit of the entrant firms locating at $B$.

Finally, we briefly discuss the case in which $h$ is larger than two. After some calculus, we find that given $k=(n-1) / 2-h$ entrant firms locate at $A, J_{o}^{B}(c, h)$ is a convex function with respect to $c .{ }^{10}$ $J_{o}^{B}(2(h-1) t, h)$ and $J_{o}^{B}(2 h t, h)$ are negative. Therefore, we have the following proposition.

[^8]Proposition 9" Suppose that $c \in(2(h-1) t, 2 h t)$ and $n$ is odd. The disclosure decreases the profits of entrant firms locating in market $B$.
$n$ is even Given that $k=n / 2-h$ entrant firms locate in market $A$ in the nondisclosure case $(c \in[(2 h-3) t,(2 h-1) t))$, to induce an entrant firm that would locate in market $A$ in the nondisclosure case to locate in market $B$, the incumbent sets $\tau$ at $\tau_{e}$ :

$$
\tau_{e}= \begin{cases}\frac{(1-c-t) t}{1-2 c} & \text { if } h=1 \\ \frac{-(1-2 c)+\sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+4(h-1)(1-c-h t) t}}{2(h-1)}, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

We can easily show that this is smaller than $t$ if and only if $c<(2 h-1) t$. The profit when the incumbent discloses its knowledge and sets $\tau$ at the above-mentioned level and that when it does not is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{B}\left(\frac{n}{2}-(h+1), \tau_{e}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
{\left[(n-2 h+2) \sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+4(h-1)((1-c)-h t) t}\right.} \\
-(n+2 h-2)(1-2 c)]^{2} / 16(h-1)^{2}(n+1)^{2}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{16}\\
&+\frac{(2-2 c+(n-2 h)) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}},(h \neq 1)  \tag{17}\\
& \frac{\left(2(1-2 c)^{2}-(1-c) n t+n t^{2}\right)^{2}}{4(1-2 c)^{2}(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2(1-c)+(n-2) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}},(h=1)
\end{aligned}, \begin{aligned}
& \frac{(2-4 c-(n-2(h-2)) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{(2-2 c+(n-2 h+2) t)^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

If the difference between $\pi_{B}\left(n / 2-(h+1), \tau_{e}\right)$ in (16) and $\pi_{B}(n / 2-h, t)$ in (17) is negative, the know-how disclosure decreases the profit of the entrant firms locating at $B$. We now define $J_{e}^{B}(t, h)$ as follows:

$$
J_{e}^{A}(t, h) \equiv \pi_{B}\left(\frac{n}{2}-(h+1), \tau_{e}\right)-\pi_{B}\left(\frac{n}{2}-h, t\right)
$$

We now check the cases (i) $h=1(c \in(0, t)$ or $t>c)$, (ii) $h=2(c \in[t, 3 t)$ or $t \in(c / 3, c])$, and (iii) $h$ is larger than $2(c \in[(2 h-3) t,(2 h-1) t)$ or $t \in(c /(2 h-1), c /(2 h-3)])$.
$\overline{[2(h-1) t, 2 h t] \text {. If the signs of }\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{B}(c, h)\right) /\left(\partial c^{2}\right)}$ are positive at $c=2(h-1) t$ and $t=2 h t$, the sign of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{B}(c, h)\right) /\left(\partial c^{2}\right)$ is always positive for any $c \in[2(h-1) t, 2 h t]$. That is, $J_{o}^{B}(c, h)$ is convex with respect to $c$. Substituting $c=2(h-1) t$ and $c=2 h t$ into $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{B}(c, h)\right) /\left(\partial c^{2}\right)$, we have the values of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{B}(c, h)\right) /\left(\partial c^{2}\right)$ at $c=2(h-1) t$ and $c=2 h t$. The numerators of the values contain the following quadratic form $B(t, h, c)(n-2 h+2)(n+2 h-2)>0(B(t, h, c)$ is a function of $t$ and $h$ and the value of $B$ depends on $c$ ). Therefore, the values of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{B}(c, h)\right) /\left(\partial c^{2}\right)$ at $c=2(h-1) t$ and $c=2 h t$ are positive, that is, $J_{o}^{B}(t, h)$ is a convex function with respect to $c$.

First, we consider the case in which $h=1(c \in(0, t)$ or $t>c) . J_{e}^{B}(t, 1)$ is:

$$
J_{e}^{B}(t, 1)=\frac{t \hat{J}}{4(1-2 c)^{2}(n+1)^{2}},
$$

where $\hat{J} \equiv-4 c(1-2 c)^{2}(n+2)-n\left(4-2(n+8) c+(3 n+16) c^{2}\right) t-2(1-c) n^{2} t^{2}+n^{2} t^{3}$. If $\hat{J}$ is negative, then $J_{e}^{B}(t, 1)$ is also negative. Differentiating $\hat{J}$ with respect to $t$ twice, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{J}}{\partial t^{2}}=-2 n^{2}(2-2 c-3 t)<0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\hat{J}$ is a concave function with respect to $t$. We now substitute $t=c$ (the lower bound of $t$ ) into $\hat{J}$ and $\partial \hat{J} / \partial t$, then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{J}_{t=c} & =-\frac{2 c^{2}}{1+n}<0 \\
\frac{\partial \hat{J}}{\partial t}_{t=c} & =-2(1-2 c) n(2-4 c+c n)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

$\partial \hat{J} / \partial t$ is negative for any $t$ and then $\hat{J}$ is negative.

Proposition 10 Suppose that $c \in(0, t)$ and that $n$ is even. The disclosure decreases the profits of the entrant firms locating in $B$.

Second, we consider the case in which $h=2(c \in[t, 3 t)$ or $c / 3<t \leq c)$. We now relabel $J_{e}^{B}(t, 2)$ as $J_{e}^{B}(c, 2)$. Differentiating $J_{e}^{B}(c, 2)$ with respect to $c$ three times, we have:

$$
\frac{\partial^{3} J_{e}^{B}(c, 2)}{\partial c^{3}}=-\frac{3\left(n^{2}-4\right)(3-2 c-8 t)(2-9 t) t^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}\left((1-2 c)^{2}+4(1-c) t-8 t^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}>0 .
$$

We now show that the sign of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}^{B}(c, 2)\right) /\left(\partial c^{2}\right)$ is positive in any case. Substituting $c=t$ into $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}^{B}(c, 2)\right) /\left(\partial c^{2}\right)$, and then for any $t<1 / 5$ we have:

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} J_{e}^{B}(c, 2)}{\partial c^{2}}\right|_{c=t}=-\frac{\left(n^{2}-4\right)\left(-2+29 t^{2}-34 t^{3}+2\left(1-8 t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}\right)}{2(n+1)^{2}\left(1-8 t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}>0
$$

Therefore, $J_{e}^{B}(c, 2)$ is convex with respect to $c$. We now substitute $c=t$ (the lower bound of $c$ ) and $c=3 t$ (the upper bound of $c$ ) into $J_{e}^{B}(c, 2)$, then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{e}^{B}(t, 2) & =\frac{\left(n^{2}-4\right)(1-2 t)\left(1-\sqrt{1-8 t^{2}}\right)-4 n^{2} t^{2}}{8(n+1)^{2}}<0, \\
J_{e}^{B}(3 t, 2) & =-\frac{2 t^{2}}{n+1}<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 10' Suppose that $c \in[t, 3 t)$ and that $n$ is even. The disclosure decreases the profits of entrant firms locating at $B$.

Finally, we briefly discuss the case in which $h$ is larger than two. After some calculus, we find that given $k=n / 2-h$ entrant firms locate at $A, J_{e}^{B}(c, h)$ is a convex function with respect to $c .^{11}$ $J_{e}^{B}((2 h-3) t, h)$ and $J_{e}^{B}((2 h-1) t, h)$ are negative. Therefore, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 10" Suppose that $c \in[(2 h-3) t,(2 h-1) t)$ and $n$ is even. The disclosure decreases the profits of entrant firms locating in market $B$.

### 0.3 Interdependent demand

In this subsection, we calculate a case in which the products in markets $A$ and $B$ are interdependent. To consider this case, we set the inverse demand functions in the markets as follows:

$$
p_{A}=1-Q_{A}-\gamma Q_{B}, \quad p_{B}=1-Q_{B}-\gamma Q_{A}
$$

where $Q_{i}(i=A, B)$ is the total quantity supplied by the firms in market $i(i=A, B)$, and $\gamma$ is the degree of product differentiation between the products. In the basic setting, we have assumed that $\gamma=0$, that is, the products are independent.

We now suppose that there exist an incumbent firm and four entrant firms, that is, 5 firms exist. In this case, the incumbent firm and one entrant firm locate in market $A$, and the rest of the entrant firms are located in market $B$.

Before the incumbent firm discloses its know-how, one entrant firm locates in $A$ and three entrant firms locate in $B$. We can easily show that the location pattern appears as an equilibrium outcome if $c<2 t$. The profit of the incumbent firm (denoted as $\pi_{I}(1, t)$ ), the profit of the entrant firm locating at $A$ (denoted as $\left.\pi_{A}(1, t)\right)$, and the profit of the firm locating at $B$ (denoted as $\left.\pi_{B}(1, t)\right)$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{I}(1, t)= & \frac{(1+4 c+3 t)(1+4 c+3 t-(1-c-4 t) \gamma)}{36\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)} \\
& +\frac{(1-c-4 t)(1-c-4 t-(1+4 c+3 t) \gamma)}{36\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)} \\
\pi_{A}(1, t)= & \frac{(1-2 c+3 t)(1-2 c+3 t-(1-c-4 t) \gamma)}{36\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^9]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{(1-c-4 t)(1-c-4 t-(1-2 c+3 t) \gamma)}{36\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)} \\
\pi_{B}(1, t)= & \frac{(1-2 c-3 t)(1-2 c-3 t-(1-c+2 t) \gamma)}{36\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)} \\
& +\frac{(1-c+2 t)(1-c+2 t-(1-2 c-3 t) \gamma)}{36\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

We now suppose that the entrant firm locating in $A$ moves to market $B$ because of the disclosure. In this case, the incumbent firm locates in market $A$, and all the entrant firms locate in market $B$. The profit of the incumbent firm and the profit of the entrant firms are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{I}(0, \tau)= & \frac{(1+4 c+4 \tau)(1+4 c+4 \tau-(1-c-5 t) \gamma)}{36\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)} \\
& +\frac{(1-c-5 t)(1-c-5 t-(1+4 c+4 \tau) \gamma)}{36\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)} \\
\pi_{B}(0, \tau)= & \frac{(1-2 c-2 \tau)(1-2 c-2 \tau-(1-c+t) \gamma)}{36\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)} \\
& +\frac{(1-c+t)(1-c+t-(1-2 c-2 \tau) \gamma)}{36\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now show the condition that the entrant firm locating in market $A$ under the nondisclosure case moves to market $B$ following the disclosure. The condition is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{B}(0, \tau)-\pi_{A}(1, t) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \tau \leq J_{\gamma}-(1-2 c-(1-c-2 t) \gamma) \\
& \quad \text { where } J_{\gamma} \equiv \sqrt{(1-2 c-(1-c-2 t) \gamma)^{2}+t(2-2 c-3 t-2(1-2 c) \gamma)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now define the upper bound of $\tau$ as $\tau_{\gamma}$ :

$$
\tau_{\gamma} \equiv J_{\gamma}-(1-2 c-(1-c-2 t) \gamma)
$$

The difference between $\pi_{I}\left(0, \tau_{\gamma}\right)$ and $\pi_{I}(1, t)$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{4(1-2 c)(1-4 c)-(4-9 c) t+8 t^{2}-\left(8(1-c)(1-3 c)-(8-29 c) t+4 t^{2}\right) \gamma}{6\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)} \\
& +\frac{4(1-c-t)(1-c-2 t) \gamma^{2}-2(1-4 c-(1-c-t) \gamma) J_{\gamma}}{6\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now consider the relation between the degree of product differentiation and the profitability of know-how disclosure. Differentiating $\tau_{\gamma}$ with respect to $\gamma$, we have:

$$
\frac{\partial \tau_{\gamma}}{\partial \gamma}=\frac{(1-c-2 t) J_{\gamma}-\left\{(1-2 c)(1-c-t)-(1-c-2 t)^{2} \gamma\right\}}{J_{\gamma}}
$$

After some calculus, we find that this is negative. ${ }^{12}$ As the degree of differentiation decreases, the incumbent firm sets the level of $\tau$ lower.

[^10]

Figure S1: Know-how disclosure is profitable for the incumbent firm ( $n$ is odd)
(Horizontal: $100 c$, Vertical: $n$, the shaded area: the profitable area for the incumbent)


Figure S2: Know-how disclosure is profitable for the incumbent firm ( $n$ is odd, $c=0.1$ )
(Horizontal: $n$, Vertical: $h$, the shaded area: the profitable area for the incumbent)


Figure S3: Know-how disclosure is profitable for the incumbent firm ( $n$ is odd, $c=0.05$ )
(Horizontal: $n$, Vertical: $h$, the shaded area: the profitable area for the incumbent)


Figure S4: Know-how disclosure is profitable for the incumbent firm ( $n$ is even)
(Horizontal: $100 c$, Vertical: $n$, the shaded area: the profitable area for the incumbent)


Figure S5: Know-how disclosure is profitable for the incumbent firm ( $n$ is even, $c=0.1$ )
(Horizontal: $n$, Vertical: $h$, the shaded area: the profitable area for the incumbent)


Figure S6: Know-how disclosure is profitable for the incumbent firm ( $n$ is even, $c=0.05$ )
(Horizontal: $n$, Vertical: $h$, the shaded area: the profitable area for the incumbent)


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Under the range of $\tau$, setting the following $\tau_{o}$ induces the highest profit of the incumbent firm. We can easily show that $\sqrt{(1-2 c)^{2}+2(2 h-1)(1-c) t-\left(4 h^{2}-1\right) t^{2}}$ in $(4)$ is positive if the quantities supplied by the firms are positive.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In this case, the quantity supplied by the incumbent firm in market $B$ is $(2-2 c-(n+2 h+3) t) /(2(n+1))$. If this is positive, $(1-c-5 t)$ is also positive.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ We first differentiate $J_{o}(t, h)$ with respect to $t$ three times. The sign of $\left(\partial^{3} J_{o}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{3}\right)$ does not depend on $t$ but on the other parameters. This means that the $\operatorname{sign}\left(\partial^{3} J_{o}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{3}\right)$ is always positive or always negative in the range of $t,[c /(2 h), c /(2(h-1))]$. If the signs of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ are negative at $t=c /(2 h)$ and $t=c /(2(h-1))$, the sign of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ is always negative for any $t \in[c /(2 h), c /(2(h-1))]$. That is, $J_{o}(t, h)$ is concave with respect to $t$. Substituting $t=c /(2 h)$ and $t=c /(2(h-1))$ into $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$, we have the values of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ at $t=c /(2 h)$ and $t=c /(2(h-1))$. The numerators of the values are quadratic and concave functions with respect to $n$. Solving the quadratic equations $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}(t, h)\right) /\left.\left(\partial t^{2}\right)\right|_{t=c /(2 h)}=0$ and $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}(t, h)\right) /\left.\left(\partial t^{2}\right)\right|_{t=c /(2(h-1))}=0$ with respect to $n$, we find that under both equations, the solutions are negative. Therefore, the values of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ at $t=c /(2 h)$ and $t=c /(2(h-1))$ are negative, that is, $J_{o}(t, h)$ is a concave function with respect to $t$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ In this case, the quantity supplied by the incumbent firm in market $B$ is $(2-2 c-(n+2 h) t) /(2(n+1))$. If this is positive, $(2-2 c-3 t)$ is also positive.
    ${ }^{5}$ First, we differentiate $\bar{J}_{t=2(1-c) /(n+6)}$ with respect to $c$. This is a quadratic function with respect to $c$. Solving the quadratic equation $\left(\partial \bar{J}_{t=2(1-c) /(n+6)}\right) /(\partial c)=0$ with respect to $c$, we find that one solution (which we now denote as $\left.c_{p}\right)$ is positive and the other is negative. When $c=0,\left(\partial \bar{J}_{t=2(1-c) /(n+6)}\right) /(\partial c)$ is positive. Therefore, when $c \in\left[0, c_{p}\right]$, $\bar{J}_{t=2(1-c) /(n+6)}$ is increasing with respect to $c$, and when $c \in\left[c_{p}, 1 / 2\right], \bar{J}_{t=2(1-c) /(n+6)}$ is decreasing with respect to $c$. If $\bar{J}_{t=2(1-c) /(n+6)}$ is positive when $c=0$ and $c=1 / 2$, then $\bar{J}_{t=2(1-c) /(n+6)}$ is positive for any $c<1 / 2$. When $c=0$, $\bar{J}_{t=2(1-c) /(n+6)}$ is $8(n+2)(5 n+26) /(n+6)^{3}$. When $c=1 / 2, \bar{J}_{t=2(1-c) /(n+6)}$ is $(n+2)^{2}(n+4)^{2} / 4(n+6)^{3}$. Therefore, $\bar{J}_{t=2(1-c) /(n+6)}$ is positive.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ In this case, the quantity supplied by the incumbent firm in market $B$ is $(2-2 c-(n+2 h) t) /(2(n+1))$. If this is positive, $(1-c-4 t)$ is also positive.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ We first differentiate $J_{e}(t, h)$ with respect to $t$ three times. The sign of $\left(\partial^{3} J_{e}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{3}\right)$ does not depend on $t$ but on the other parameters. This means that the $\operatorname{sign}\left(\partial^{3} J_{e}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{3}\right)$ is always positive or always negative on the range of $t[c /(2 h-1), c /(2 h-3)]$. If the signs of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ are negative at $t=c /(2 h-1)$ and $t=c /(2 h-3)$, the sign of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ is always negative for any $t \in[c /(2 h-1), c /(2 h-3)]$. That is, $J_{e}(t, h)$ is concave with respect to $t$. Substituting $t=c /(2 h-1)$ and $t=c /(2 h-3)$ into $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$, we have the values of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ at $t=c /(2 h-1)$ and $t=c /(2 h-3)$. The numerators of the values are quadratic and concave functions with respect to $n$. Solving the quadratic equations $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}(t, h)\right) /\left.\left(\partial t^{2}\right)\right|_{t=c /(2 h-1)}=0$ and $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}(t, h)\right) /\left.\left(\partial t^{2}\right)\right|_{t=c /(2 h-3)}=0$ with respect to $n$, we find that for both equations the solutions are negative. Therefore, the values of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{e}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ at $t=c /(2 h-1)$ and $t=c /(2 h-3)$ are negative, that is, $J_{e}(t, h)$ is a concave function with respect to $t$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ We first differentiate $J_{o}^{A}(t, h)$ with respect to $t$ three times. The sign of $\left(\partial^{3} J_{o}^{A}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{3}\right)$ does not depend on $t$ but on the other parameters. This means that the $\operatorname{sign}\left(\partial^{3} J_{o}^{A}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{3}\right)$ is always positive or always negative on the range of $t[c /(2 h), c /(2(h-1))]$. If the signs of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{A}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ are negative at $t=c /(2 h)$ and $t=c /(2(h-1))$, the sign of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{A}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ is always negative for any $t \in[c /(2 h), c /(2(h-1))]$. That is, $J_{o}^{A}(t, h)$ is concave with respect to $t$. Substituting $t=c /(2 h)$ and $t=c /(2(h-1))$ into $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{A}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$, we have the values of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{A}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ at $t=c /(2 h)$ and $t=c /(2(h-1))$. The numerators of the values are quadratic and concave functions with respect to $n$. Solving the quadratic equations $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{A}(t, h)\right) /\left.\left(\partial t^{2}\right)\right|_{t=c /(2 h)}=0$ and $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{A}(t, h)\right) /\left.\left(\partial t^{2}\right)\right|_{t=c /(2(h-1))}=0$ with respect to $n$, we find that under the both equations the solutions are negative. Therefore, the values of $\left(\partial^{2} J_{o}^{A}(t, h)\right) /\left(\partial t^{2}\right)$ at $t=c /(2 h)$ and $t=c /(2(h-1))$ are negative, that is, $J_{o}^{A}(t, h)$ is concave function with respect to $t$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ The procedure to prove it is similar to that in the odd case.

[^8]:    ${ }^{10}$ We first differentiate $J_{o}^{B}(c, h)$ with respect to $c$ three times. The sign of $\left(\partial^{3} J_{o}^{B}(c, h)\right) /\left(\partial c^{3}\right)$ depends not on $t$ but on the other parameters. This means that the $\operatorname{sign}\left(\partial^{3} J_{o}^{B}(c, h)\right) /\left(\partial c^{3}\right)$ is always positive or always negative in the range of $c$

[^9]:    ${ }^{11}$ The procedure to prove this is similar to that in the odd case.

[^10]:    ${ }^{12}$ Because $c<2 t$ and $t$ is smaller than $1 / 4$ (the former condition is that one of the entrant firms locates in market $A$ under the nondisclosure case, and the latter condition is a necessary condition that the quantities supplied by the firms are positive), $(1-2 c)(1-c-t)-(1-c-2 t)^{2} \gamma$ is positive for any $\gamma \in(-1,1)$. Because $\{(1-2 c)(1-c-t)-(1-c-$ $\left.2 t)^{2} \gamma\right\}^{2}-\left((1-c-2 t) J_{\gamma}\right)^{2}=(2 t-c) t(2-3 c-2 t)(2-2 c-3 t)>0, \frac{\partial \tau_{\gamma}}{\partial \gamma}$ is negative.

